

Arizona Supreme Court
Criminal Petition for Review - Appeal

CR-24-0140-PR

STATE OF ARIZONA v JOHN FITZGERALD GAYLES

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 7-Jun-2024

Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

Attorneys for: Appellee

Alice Jones, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 28062)

Michael O'Toole, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 19555)

Side 2. JOHN FITZGERALD GAYLES, Appellant

(Litigant Group) JOHN FITZGERALD GAYLES

- John Fitzgerald Gayles

PRO SE

CASE STATUS

Jun 7, 2024.....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA	1 CA-CR 22-0493				
↳ MAR	CR2021-136388-001	See file	See file	JURY	
			Michael W Kemp, Sentencing Comments: (none)		

8 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 7-Jun-2024 FILED: Appellant Motion for Extension of Time to File a Rule 31.21 Petition for Review (Appellant Gayles, Pro Se)
- 7-Jun-2024 Appellant Gayles filed "Appellant Motion for Extension of Time to File a Rule 31.21 Petition for Review" on June 7, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellant's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by June 24, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)
- 24-Jun-2024 FILED: Motion to Exceed Page Limit by (2.6) Pages in Petition for Review (Appellant Gayles, Pro Se)
- 24-Jun-2024 FILED: Appellant's Petition for Review of Appeals Court (Appellant Gayles, Pro Se)
- 24-Jun-2024 Appellant Gayles filed a "Motion to Exceed Page Limit by (2.6) Pages in Petition for Review" on June 24, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellant's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b) by July 9, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)
- 24-Jun-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 1-Jul-2024 FILED: Motion for Procedural Order to Exceed the Page Limit (2.6) Pages in Appellant's Petition for Review (Appellant Gayles, Pro Se)
- 2-Jul-2024 A "Motion for Procedural Order to Exceed the Page Limit (2.6) Pages in Appellant's Petition for Review" (Appellant Gayles, pro se) having been filed with a 15-page petition for review, and the Clerk of the Court having been authorized by the Supreme Court to enter orders granting or denying requests for extended pagination,

IT IS ORDERED granting Appellant's motion to exceed the page count limit on the petition for review by 2.5 pages for a total of 15 pages. The petition for review shall be filed as of June 24, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)